App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1928-29. (Mazra) **File No.** 442-28.

Serial Nos. 1 68.

Later References
Secy 117-45-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for January 1932.

SUBJECT

Recommendation of the Rev. Commr. that the price of land in Todi Ambalahalli Village, Sadali Hobli, Siddaghatta Sq. may be directed to be abated/waived for cultivation and Dispensary in the Hobli Anoliam

Sanction the ---

Order No 999-1002/ 442-28-8
dy 22-1-32.

The Rev. Commr.
" Compt'ller.
" Secy. Commr.
" Supdt. Rev: Survey
Copy to Rev

Serial No.

1 From the Rev- Comm in Mysore
No C 4743/28-29 Dated 6-6-29
Recommend the disposal by
public auction for cultivation of
8 ac 4 guntas of S No 160 measg
44 ac 1 gunta of the Jodi Dimbalahalli
village Sidlaghatta Tk endowed to
the Sree Venkataramanaswami
temple at Malakayalebe the
Sidlaghatta Tk

The village is said to be
under Govt management & is endowed
to the Sri Venkataramanaswami
Temple. The portion of 1 ac 4 guntas
out of S No 160 gift (which is
classed as tank bed) is said to
have been given away for kandayam
by the Archaka to one Venkatappa
who has improved it at great cost
The present recommendation is for
sale of the land for cultivation
by public auction, as the land
is ... and not fit for Dry cultivation.

As the temple is a Mysore
institution, the file may
be sent to Govt Secrt (Mys)
for favour of taking necessary
Sanad for orders

11-6-29

To Thro the Commr

Yes B
11/6

hz
12-6-29

Mys 13/6

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
15 JUN 29
MUZRAI
1990

Mys' Sectn
12.6.29
received at 9 40 AM

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

There is no information as to when the Jodi Village was taken under Govt management. Submitted

27.6.29.

Govt 971/29

2 To The Inam Comr No 32 Dt 4-7-29

(Requests him to be informed when the above Village was taken under Govt management & returns the records.

Govt (A) LW 9/7

3. From, The Asst Comr in Mysore Letter no. C 4743 Dt 1-10-29.

Reports that the Inam Village was taken under Govt management as per Govt order no. R. Dis. 102/25-26 Dt 21.1.26.

Orders solicited on the recommendation for appropriating for cultivation, 1.14 g land out of S.no. 160 (classed as Tank bed in the Jaisal Patrika) endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Jalakagalabetta, and also for disposal in public auction —

Submitted. AS 29/10/29

Agree May be approved 28/30/10

5272 1/11

4042 4-XI.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21,8 28 (Govt number) 4/11/29 WD

613 3 1910 mug

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

10) Q. Is not the land in question Devadayam Inam? If it is, who receives the Kaniyapam fixed by Venkatappa? When the land is taken by the Archaka is not the assessment of any one of the lands included in the village, being held by the Temple to cease? If so how is the Kaniyapam fixed by Venkatappa to be viewed? Is it meant for those attached to Land Revenue? If it is to be treated as such the case is clear and Exemption is granted in order. The grants for special services of village officers are never resumed and extraneous, but existing rights of each possessor are taken on the

11) 2 Nil Submit for appl or other orders. The village is noted as inam to the temple and it is mentioned in the items that Venkatappa was paying Kaniyapam to the temple — the Tarcuah as manager appears to have

AV 13.11.29

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

permitted the land in question being cultivated by ~~the opp~~ Venkatappa, who has applied for the land being ~~granted~~ Given to him for an upset price, as he had brought it to good condition.

b) The whole village being Devadaya village, the lands in the villages were being granted for cultivation and Rent or Revenue being collected from the holders. As such lands were granted on Darkhast, the presumption is that Kadim tenancy is created in all such cases, under Darkhast rules. Similarly in the present case, Venkatappa is reported to have been in enjoyment of the land, paying the rent or Kandaya to the Amrut for more than 15 years as reported by the Shirkdar on 16.7.27 ~~asks prays~~ This is a case of adverse possession, but Venkatappa prays for grant of the land for upset price to him alone.

Prays calling for ~~such~~ enq. &c. for appl

Issue

Flag. IV

WD 066—GPB—50,000—26-1-29

File No. 442 of 1928.

Serial No.	
4	To The Revenue Commissioner No 1015 of 18-11- Calls for information on certain points.
5.	To The Superintendent Revenue Survey & Settlement No 1016 of 18-11-29 Calls for a copy of the detailed ~~inam~~ inam register of the 908 villages
6.	From, the Inam Supdt, Letter no 131 of 27 11 29 Submits the augt Inam Registers pertaining to the taluka lands. The Rev Comrs report called for in Sl 5, may be awaited Submitted 6/12/29.
	Letter No C 4743/28-29 of 30.11.29 from The Municipal Commissioner, Madras, asking among other matters the lands about which the Commissioner of Income Tax, North Division, Madras, has called for proprietary claims for the land by means of notice

Note—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 442 of 1928

Serial No.

7

5255
7/1

5/1/32

24/1

7/1

8)

6/1

9) Vide para 9 the M.Cs recommendations may be sanctioned.

2nd member)

7-1-32

10)

9.1.32

9/1

10/1

9.1.32

19/1

7/1

19/1

Issue

19/1

19 JAN 1932

Sd/-

B
27/1/32

Sanctioning the recommendations of the Revenue Commissioner

Note—Contn. Sheet

R. 701-28-1

No. Revenue 7

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, ... 1.

Rec'd 16-6-29

SECRETARIAT R.663 4 JUN 1929 REVENUE BRANCH 10 1910

From

R. G. D'SOUZA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In [illegible] with the [illegible] 7th May 1929, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Jolli Doddi is a village, Sy. No. 1 [illegible], belonging to Sri [illegible] Jolli Ramanamma and Temple at Tolahalli, Sirsi taluk. The village is under Government management and survey and settlement has been introduced into it. The tank of 7 acres 14 guntas out of S.No. 160 measuring 44 acres 1 gunta of the village classed as Tank bed in the Fiscal Petrima, ~~was in the possession~~ was in the possession of the [illegible] of the temple prior to the absorption of the management of the village by Government and the Amildar gave it away to one Gothoor Venkatappa Nayak on a kattubhogam tenure to be in possession and enjoyment of it for the past 20 years and to have improved the land at great cost. Now Venkatappa Nayak states that he may be granted the land at upset price. The Deputy Commissioner states that there should be authority to grant such rights in favour of individuals when the land is classed as tank bed in view of the fact that the village is a unit chosen for the grant made by the ryots is not limited to the [illegible] of the property now. He further states that the land classed as tank bed in account with its [illegible] Jolli Ramanamma and Temple at Tolahalli and is [illegible] for [illegible] cultivation [illegible] and that the Executive Engineer, [illegible] for [illegible]

out for cultivation and recommends that an extent of 1 acre 14 guntas of land out of S.No. 160 may be directed to be appropriated for cultivation and disposed of in public auction.

In those circumstances, I beg to recommend that the deputy commissioner's recommendation may be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

dix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Enamy

File No. 442 - 28

Serial No. 2

No. 32 Enamy

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The Hon'ble .....

Date of issue 26/7/29

Signed by ..... for Secretary

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. C.4743 dt 1-6-29 recommending the disposal by public auction, of some lands in Jodi Dimbalahalli Village, Siddlaghatta Taluk, endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Talakayalabetta, I am directed to request to be informed when the above Village was taken under Govt management.

(2) The records are not

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

Hr. Prof. d. Ze. Lehre

I have the

Dis[illegible] Lind Secty

No. C.4743/28-29
2 Files

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore 30th September *192* 9.
18th Letter

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To



Financial
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

5-10-29
P. Book 613
Inam 442-28-3

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. 32/Inam. 442-28-3 dated the 3/4th July 1929 requesting to be informed when the Jodi Nimbalahalli village, Sidlaghatta Taluk, endowed to Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Talakayala Betta was taken over under Government management, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reports that the village was taken under Government management in the year 1925-26 as per Inamdar Commissioner's order No. R.Dis.105/25-26 dated the 21st January 1926.

The District Office file connected with the taking over of the village under Government management as also the vernacular file bearing on the questions of the appropriation of 1 acre and 14 cuntas of land out of survey No. 160 of the village are herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. G. D'Souza

Revenue Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

2 Dft

Section Mins.

File No. -

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No. 4

No. 1015 withreceipt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The R. C.

Date of issue 18-11-29

Signed by Mr A N R

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No 4743 dy 1-10-1929, re-commending the disposal by public auction for cultivation of S. no. 160 (classed as Tank Bed in the Taluk Patta) endowed to Sri Venkatasa-ramana Swamy temple at Palakayalu Bolla-sidhapatta Taluk, I am directed to inform you

over

on the points noted below: —

(1) Is not the land in question Devadaya dharm?

(2) If it is, who receives the kandayam paid by Venkatappa to whom the land is stated to have been made over by the Archaka?

(3) Is not the whole rent if any due on this land included in the village, being paid by the temple to Govt.? If so, how is the kandayam paid by Venkatappa to be viewed?

(4) Is it rent for lease of the land or land Revenue?

(4) If it is to be treated as Rent, it is not this a clear case of resumption? and

(5) Can Venkatappa urge adverse possession and advance any proprietary right based on such possession?

(2) The favour of a very early reply requested

(3) The memo are retained for ref & return.

(W) Mr. [illegible] 7th Feby.

Appendix B (4)—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Serial No. 5

No. 1016

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

Mr. ... Assistant

Date of issue: 18.11.29

Signed by M.V.A.N.R

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for Govt. a copy of the detailed plan register pertaining to the grant of Jodi Dimbalakalli village, Sira Taluk, as also to Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Dalakayalu Betta—

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

I have the honour to be Sir, Your most obedient servant, Secy.

WD063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

75

No.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore,..26th November .1929

27 CENL. & REV
29 NOV 1929
19-81-8

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

To

Muz 442-28-6

Rajaseva Parayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith in original the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of Jodi Dimba. halli village, Siddlaghatta Taluk, as Inam to Sri Venkatarama. -swami temple at Talakayalu Betta, as desired in your letter No.1016/Muz.442-28-4 dated 18th November 1929.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Asst. Commissioner
(For Superintendent
absent from station)

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Mg 442-98-7 16

6024
7 - DEC 1931
GEN. E

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

No. C.4743/28-29
one file true copy.

Dated, Bangalore, 30th November 1931
5026/31

FROM

C. Viriannaiya, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

07535

To

Rajasevaparayana
S. Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Finance Department, *Bangalore.*

4

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. Fin/Rev-447-28-3 dated 19th [illegible] last calling for information in regard to [illegible] dispossessed of [illegible] village, Srirangapatna Taluk, and [illegible] at Talakayalabetta in the same Taluk, I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District in his No. R.D.R.261/28-29 dated 30th October 1931 that

1) the land in question in Devaraya Hosahalli [illegible] village is Devadaya Inam [illegible] by the archak before the village came under Government management since when it is being received

2) the Pujari Venkatappa was [illegible] by the Revenue authorities and [illegible]

3) the Archak Venkatappa [illegible] the Jodi Kalasa Pooja [illegible] funds and [illegible] over to Venkatappa [illegible]

4) the lease is not [illegible] for the period of years [illegible] which is not permissible [illegible] article 274 (1) (b) of the [illegible] resumed; and that

5) Venkatappa cannot be [illegible]

right over the land by reason of possession or improvements ef

2. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that sanction of Government may be accorded to this Office recommendation dated 1st June 1929.

3. The connected records accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

Letter No.M.2. D.R.261/29-30 dated 30-11-1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.C.4743/28-29 dated 27/28th November 1929 and in continuation of this Office letter No.M.2.D.R.261/29-30. dated 25-10-31 regarding the disposal of 1 acre 14 guntas of land out of S.No.160 (tank-bed) of Jodi Dimberkanalli village endowed to Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Tholakayalabetta, Sidlaghatta Taluk, I have the honour to state as follows:-

1. The land in question is a Devadaya Inam since the whole village is Devadaya Inam endowed to the temple.

2. The Kandayam paid by Venkatappaver being received by the archak so long as the village was not under Government management and thereafter it is being received from him and credited to the temple funds.

3. Since this is a jodi village the jodi for the entire village including the land in question is being paid from the temple funds to Government and hence the kandayam paid by Venkatappa has to be viewed as rent, since the conditions under which the land was made over to him by the Archak are not known.

4. The land is stated to be in actual possession of Venkatappa for the past nearly 26 years and it is tantamounts to saying that the lease is one in perpetuity which is not permissible under the Rules As such there is a clear case for resumption.

5. Since the land is a Devadaya Inam, Venkatappa cannot legally advance any proprietory right over it on the ground of possession or improvements effected to the land.

The entire file of connected records on the subject ending with Sidlaghatta Amildar's report No.M2.C.86/30-30 dated 7-11-1931 is herewith sent.

Copy.

For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

:19

SUBJECT .. . .

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 20/1/32.

Signed by Mr. A. Subbaraya Correspondence

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. Mis

File No. Misc 2-28

Serial No. 8

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

with Letter ~~Rec~~/No C 4743/28-29 dt 3.12.1931 from the R.C. ~~forwarding records received from the D.C. relative to~~ Shahji Rao Todé Dinkarakalli Village Sabali Hobli Siddaghatta Order Thereon No. TK 1 on endorsement to Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple at Thalakayalabetta Siddaghatta TK, ~~in Muzrai Zone~~ Management ~~of Estates & Settlement~~ has been intimated ~~vide~~ that as Colcul of 1 ac 14 gunthas out of S. No 160 measuring 44 ac

~~[illegible]~~ of the Village claimed
as Inam land in the Final Patta
was in the possession of the late Archak
before the assumption of
the Management by Govt that the
Archak gave away the land to one
Kothur Venkatadda, on Kandayam
~~Kandayam~~ who now prays
that the land may be given
to him for such price. that he cannot legally advance
any proprietary rights in the land
by advancing money in the
That the D.C. states that
the Archak had no authority
to create any permanent
rights in favour of individuals
when the land was in his enjoyment
& that the grant made by
the Archak is not binding
on the heirs of the Inamdars
of the property now and
in the cases explained
Recommending favourable to the proposal of the Deputy Collector
~~for reasons not~~
stated herein, that the pricing land ~~of the~~ referred to
Copied by
Examined by
~~Collector of Dec 14 Guntur~~
~~of Land and G.O. No 162~~
may be directed to be

App. F. C

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Continuation sheet draft form.)

appropriated for cultivation and disposed of in public auction.

2. Copies ~~index~~ of ~~my~~ ~~D.O.~~ letter no C 474 B/28 29 dt 3. 12. 1931 from the Asst Collector ~~to the~~ ~~additional information~~ ~~on the subject~~ - Stating that the D.C. vide this letter of ~~20/12/31~~ ~~has~~ ~~dec~~ ~~ordered~~ that Venkatappa Cannot legally advance any proprietary right over cultd land by reason of ~~adverse~~ ~~possession~~ or ~~any~~ improvement ~~registered~~ ~~and recommended that~~ ~~by this letter~~ ~~recommendation of~~.

1. 6. 29. 999-1002 - orders - 22/1/32

The recommendation

~~10~~

The R.C. ~~with~~ records
The Conclr to Govt
The M.C.
The Supdt Rev Survey
~~& Settlement~~ with enclosures

Copy to Revs Bn

18/1

Asst Collr

AD 0827—S PB—10,000—18 5-27